	Mana Talanaff (C.D. #199547)	
1	Marc Toberoff (S.B. #188547)	770
2	mtoberoff@toberoffandassociates.co. TOBEROFF & ASSOCIATES, P.C.	m
3	23823 Malibu Road, Suite 50-363	
4	Malibu, CA 90265	
5	Telephone: (310) 246-3333 Facsimile: (310) 246-3101	
6		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8		TES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	D. I. A.N.CE IIII I. on individual	Case No. 24-CV-1587
12	R. LANCE HILL, an individual,	COMPLAINT FOR:
13	Plaintiff,	
14	77	[1] DECLARATORY RELIEF [2] COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT
15	V.	[2] COLLINGIAL INTRINGENIENT
16	METRO-GOLDWYN-MAYER	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
17	STUDIOS INC., a Delaware corporation, AMAZON	
	STUDIOS LLC, a California	
18	limited liability company,	
19	UNITED ARTISTS PICTURES INC., a Delaware corporation, and	
20	DOES 1-10,	
21		
22	Defendants.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

LLC ("Amazon Studios"), and United Artists Pictures Inc. (collectively, "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

Plaintiff R. Lance Hill ("Hill" or "Plaintiff"), for his complaint against

defendants Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Studios Inc. ("MGM"), Amazon Studios

- 1. Hill, also known as by his Hollywood pen name, David Lee Henry, is an accomplished author of both American literature and film. Hill's novels, including *Nails* (1970), *King of White Lady* (1975), and *The Evil That Men Do* (1978) showcase his talent for crafting compelling narratives infused with suspense, intrigue, and psychological depth. As a screenwriter, he has lent his creative vision to several notable films, such as *Harry Tracy, Desperado* (1982), *The Evil That Men Do* (1984), *8 Million Ways to Die* (1986), *Road House* (1989) and *Out for Justice* (1991). Each screenplay bears the hallmark of Hill's distinctive storytelling style, characterized by sharp dialogue, dynamic characters, and gripping plots that resonate with audiences long after the credits roll.
- 2. Hill is the author of the original screenplay entitled *Roadhouse* dated July 1986 (the "Screenplay"), which he wrote "on spec" on his own initiative.
- 3. When United Artists Pictures, Inc. ("United Artists") expressed interest in producing a motion picture based on his Screenplay, Hill transferred his copyright therein to the studio by a written assignment executed on September 16, 1986. Thereafter, United Artists produced and exploited the 1989 motion picture, *Road House* (the "1989 Film") based on the Screenplay.
- 4. On November 10, 2021, Hill properly availed himself of his right under the Copyright Act to recover the copyright to his Screenplay by timely serving United Artists' successors with a statutory notice of termination of Hill's 1986 copyright grant, under 17 U.S.C. § 203(a), bearing an effective termination

 $\| c$

date of November 11, 2023, and by filing the notice of termination with the U.S. Copyright Office.

- 5. On November 11, 2023, the Screenplay's copyright thereby duly reverted to Hill under the Copyright Act. Yet, in contravention of the Act's fundamental authorial termination right, Defendants refused to acknowledge Hill's statutory termination.
- 6. Instead, Defendants steamrolled ahead with the production of a remake of the 1989 Film (the "2024 Remake") derived from Hill's Screenplay. Defendants' unauthorized 2024 Remake was not completed until late January 2024, well *after* the effective date of Hill's statutory termination. This case arises from Defendants' blatant copyright infringement due to their willful failure to license the requisite motion picture and ancillary rights to Hill's Screenplay underlying their derivative 2004 Remake as required by law.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff R. Lance Hill, aka David Lee Henry, is an individual, citizen and resident of Canada.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant MGM is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, which has its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, California.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Amazon Studios is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, which has its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, California.
- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant United Artists Pictures Inc. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, which has its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, California.

///

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1 2

- 11. This is a civil action for copyright infringement and injunctive relief under the United States Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 *et seq*. (hereinafter, "Copyright Act") and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 12. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims set forth in this complaint pursuant to the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, and 1338(a), and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 13. Upon information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over MGM because it has its principal place of business in the State of California and in this District, and because a substantial portion of the relevant acts complained of herein occurred in the State of California and in this District.
- 14. Upon information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Amazon Studios because it has its principal place of business in the State of California and in this District, and because a substantial portion of the relevant acts complained of herein occurred in the State of California and in this District.
- 15. Upon information and belief, this Court has personal jurisdiction over United Artists Pictures Inc. because it has its principal place of business in the State of California and in this District, and because a substantial portion of the relevant acts complained of herein occurred in the State of California and in this District.
- 16. Upon information and belief, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Defendants reside in this District, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this action occurred in this District.
- 17. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the fictitiously named Defendants captioned hereinabove as Does 1 through 10,

inclusive, and each of them (hereinafter "DOE(S)") were in some manner
responsible or legally liable for the actions, damages, events, transactions and
circumstances alleged herein. The true names and capacities of such fictitiously
named defendants, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise are
presently unknown to Plaintiff, and Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to assert
the true names and capacities of such fictitiously named Defendants when the
same have been ascertained. For convenience, each reference herein to a named
Defendant or to Defendants shall also refer to the Doe Defendants and each of

them.

18. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that each of the Defendants was the agent, partner, servant, employee, or employer of each of the other Defendants herein, and that at all times herein mentioned, each of the Defendants was acting within the course and scope of such employment, partnership and/or agency and that each of the Defendants is jointly and severally responsible for the damages hereinafter alleged.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 19. The Copyright Act provides an author with the inalienable right to recapture the copyright to the author's creative material, after a lengthy waiting period, by statutorily terminating without cause prior transfer(s) of such copyright. Termination is carried out by simply serving advance notice of termination on the original grantee or its successors and filing the notice with the U.S. Copyright Office, within delineated time windows. 17 U.S.C. § 203(a).
- 20. Section 203(a) provides for the termination of post-1977 transfers of rights under copyright by the author during a five (5) year period commencing thirty-five (35) years after the date the rights were transferred. *Id.* § 203(a)(3). The requisite notice of termination sets forth the "effective date" of termination, within the five-year termination "window," when the previously transferred rights under copyright will be recaptured by the author. Notice of

3 4

> 5 6

8

10

9

12

13

11

14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 termination may be served by the author at any time between ten (10), and two (2) years before the effective termination date. *Id.* § 203(a)(4)(A).

- 21. "Works for hire" are the sole exemption from the Copyright Act's termination provisions. Id. § 203(a).
- The termination right is the most important authorial right provided 22. by the Copyright Act, short of copyright itself. Congress was therefore very protective of the termination right and, to that end, enacted a number of provisions to prevent any waiver or encumbrance of the termination interest even by the author himself. For instance, "[t]ermination of the [author's copyright] grant may be effected notwithstanding any agreement [by the author] to the contrary[.]" *Id*. § 203(a)(5).
- Furthermore, "[h]armless errors in a [termination] notice that do not 23. materially affect the adequacy of the information required to serve the purposes of . . . section [203(a)] of title 17, U.S.C. . . . shall not render the notice invalid." 37 CFR § 201.10(e)(1).
- 24. Congress anticipated that an author's exercise of his/her termination right would usually result in a new license by the author to the terminated grantee or its successors (like Defendants). To that end, Congress provided "the original grantee" or its successor with the exclusive opportunity to re-license an author's recaptured copyright "after the notice or termination has been served," but before "the effective date of the termination." Id. § 203(b)(4). The termination provisions thus reflect a deliberate balance of competing interests.
- Under the termination provisions, prior derivative works can 25. continue to be freely distributed just as before pursuant to the terms of the author's original grant. 17 U.S.C. § 203(b)(1). Thus, Hill's recovery of the U.S. copyright to the Screenplay does not prevent Defendants or its licensees from continuing to exploit prior derivative works, including the original 1989 Film; it simply requires a new license for remake or sequel films, and other derivative

works completed after the effective November 11, 2023 Termination date, like the 2024 Remake.

26. In addition, because the Copyright Act has no extraterritorial application, foreign rights to the Screenplay also remain with Defendants, notwithstanding Hill's Termination. After the November 11, 2023 Termination date, a new U.S. license from Hill to Defendants of the Screenplay would fairly enable its author Hill to finally participate with others in the proven market value and financial rewards of his original creation, precisely as Congress intended. H.R. Rep. No. 94-1476, at 124 (1976).

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

The Chain of Title

- 27. In or around July of 1986, Hill authored the *Roadhouse* Screenplay "on spec," meaning that he wrote it on his own volition, in the hope of finding an interested motion picture studio once the work was completed.
- 28. Under the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. § 102(a)), Hill secured a statutory copyright in his Screenplay the moment it was fixed in a tangible medium of expression (i.e., when it was written) and regardless of whether or when the Screenplay was published or registered.
- 29. Upon completion of the Screenplay, Hill's agents at Creative Artists Agency shopped the Screenplay to potential buyers.
- 30. United Artists recognized the potential in Hill's spec Screenplay and entered into a "Literary Purchase Agreement" with Hill doing business as Lady Amos Literary Works, Ltd. ("Lady Amos"), his wholly owned loan-out entity, and secured a copyright "Assignment" of all rights in the Screenplay from Hill and Lady Amos, all executed on September 16, 1986 (collectively, the "1986 Grant"). This culminated in the production and release of the now-iconic 1989 Film *Road House* starring Patrick Swayze derived from the Screenplay.
 - 31. Hill had neither an employment nor a contractual relationship with

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 United Artists when he wrote the Screenplay. Rather, United Artists attained the 1986 Grant from Hill well after the Screenplay had been completed.

- 32. On November 10, 2021, Hill properly availed himself of his termination rights under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 203(a), by serving Defendants with a statutory notice of termination, terminating Hill's 1986 Grant of all rights under U.S. copyright in his Screenplay, effective November 11, 2023 (the "Termination").
- The Termination notice, which was recorded with the U.S. 33. Copyright Office on January 8, 2022 (Doc. No. V9985D535), fully complied with Section 203(a) of the Copyright Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder by the Register of Copyrights, 37 C.F.R. § 201.10.
- 34. Out of an abundance of caution, on November 10, 2021, Hill sent an additional notice of termination, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 203(a), to Lady Amos and Defendants, terminating any express or implied grant by Hill to Lady Amos of any rights under U.S. copyright in the Screenplay, with an effective termination date of November 11, 2023 (the "Lady Amos Termination").
- 35. The Lady Amos Termination notice, which was recorded with the U.S. Copyright Office on January 8, 2022 (Doc. No. V9982D930), likewise complied with Section 203(a) of the Copyright Act and 37 C.F.R. § 201.10.
- On November 11, 2023, the effective date of both the Termination 36. and the Lady Amos Termination, Hill once again became the sole owner of the U.S. copyright in his original Screenplay.
- 37. On December 15, 2021, Defendants sent a letter to Plaintiff objecting to the Termination on the purported grounds that Hill was barred from exercising his statutory termination rights with respect to his 1986 Grant because the Screenplay supposedly qualified as a work for hire for Hill's wholly owned loan-out entity Lady Amos. As the sole basis for their assertion Defendants cited a conclusory form recitation in the 1986 Grant, drafted by United Artists, which

5

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

says the Screenplay was purportedly a "work made for hire" for Lady Amos.

- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that United 38. Artists, as well as other movie studies, included this form "work made for hire" recitation by rote whenever transacting with an author's loan-out entity regardless of the true circumstances of a work's creation and whether, as a matter of fact and law, it even qualified as a "work made for hire" under the Copyright Act.
- Here, the Screenplay at issue, on both the facts and the law, did not 39. constitute or qualify in any respect as a "work made for hire" under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C § 101.
- Hill had no actual employment relationship with Lady Amos and Hill did not conceive or write his spec Screenplay within the scope of any such employment.
- 41. Hill was not paid a salary by Lady Amos, nor did Hill receive any compensation from Lady Amos or any other entity to write the Screenplay. Lady Amos did not withhold any income tax, social security and/or Medicare (nor pay an employer's matching amount), nor did Lady Amos report or pay payroll taxes or Federal Unemployment tax (FUTA) with respect to Hill, as required by law for actual employees. Hill also did not receive any customary employment benefits from Lady Amos, such as healthcare, a pension, unemployment insurance, or workers' compensation.
- 42. Nor was the Screenplay written by Hill pursuant to a written agreement or other written instrument wherein Lady Amos specially ordered or commissioned Hill to write the Screenplay as Lady Amos's "work made for hire."
- 43. Hill was Lady Amos' sole owner, and the entity did not have any actual employees, and had no separate operations from that of Hill. At all times relevant, Hill exercised complete control of Lady Amos, which merely served as

- 44. Neither Lady Amos nor any person or entity assigned, supervised or controlled Hill's writing of the Screenplay. Hill had complete autonomy over every aspect of the work's creation. Lady Amos played no role in the writing of the Screenplay. Lady Amos did not oversee or review Hill's progress in writing the Screenplay, participate in the Screenplay's development, nor did it set any deadlines for Hill with respect to his writing of the Screenplay.
- 45. Defendants' contention that United Artists' form recitation in the 1986 Grant retroactively converted Hill's pre-existing spec Screenplay into a work made for hire is contrary to law and, as such, United Artists' post-facto boilerplate is of no legal force and effect.
- 46. United Artists made Hill a party to the 1986 Grant and required Hill's notarized personal signature on the Assignment transferring the copyright in his spec Screenplay to United Artists precisely because the Screenplay did <u>not</u> actually qualify as Lady Amos's "work made for hire."
- 47. To the extent that the mere work for hire recitation inserted by United Artists in the 1986 Grant is deemed or construed to effectively waive or strip Hill of his inalienable termination right, as now claimed by Defendants, it is also void *ab initio* as an "agreement to the contrary" under 17 U.S.C. § 203(a)(5).
- 48. Defendants' actions with respect to the 2024 Remake belie their blanket assertion that Hills' Termination is ineffective. Hill is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Defendants expressly and repeatedly set and emphasized November 10, 2023 as their self-imposed deadline to complete the 2024 Remake the very day before Hill's Termination was to take effect on November 11, 2023. This is no coincidence.
- 49. Hill is further informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Defendants went so far as to take extreme measures to try to meet this

50. Ultimately, Defendants failed to complete the 2024 Remake until late January 2024, well after Hill's Termination had taken effect.

The 2024 Remake Exploits Hill's Screenplay

- 51. As the 2024 Remake remade the 1989 Film, that was based on Hill's original Screenplay, it is unsurprising that the 2024 Remake's shooting script reveals key literary elements substantially similar to those contained in the Screenplay, all as set forth in more detail in Exhibit 1, attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.
- 52. In summary, both the Screenplay and the 2024 Remake tell the story of Dalton, a master of various fighting disciplines who is hired to be a bouncer at a bar crawling with troublemakers. With a body covered in scars but in peak physical condition, Dalton exudes a studied nature when it comes to his work, a sort of Art of War approach to being a bouncer. He has a sixth sense for detecting impending violence and displays a levelheaded temperament in violent situations—unless his loved ones are harmed, which sets off a tremendous rage in Dalton.
- 53. In both works, the introductory scenes establish Dalton's high threshold for pain by depicting him as unfazed when stabbed, which he proceeds to treat himself. In both works, while tending to his stab wound, Dalton receives a job offer to work as a bouncer at a bar with a rough and rowdy clientele.
 - 54. In both works, the owner of the bar is stressed and overwhelmed

with the violence and chaos that have overtaken their establishment. The assortment of characters who cause trouble at the bar are depicted as violent and cartoonishly crude.

- 55. In both works, Dalton encounters an initial adversary at the bar, a rabble-rouser who frequently comes into the bar to cause trouble. In both works, this antagonist is overconfident and instigates a fight with Dalton but is outmatched by Dalton's martial arts skills.
- 56. Both works depict the bar's staff as lethargic and disinterested when Dalton first arrives. In both works, Dalton becomes a mentor to younger bouncers at the bar, who grow eager to learn and are somewhat in awe of Dalton. Both works feature montages where different nights are presented in a progression to show how Dalton trains the other bouncers how to react appropriately to violent patrons and maintain order in the bar without resorting to fighting, shaping them into a coherent team.
- 57. Both works are set in a sprawling bar with a stage for bands to perform which is wrapped in chicken wire to protect the musicians from patrons throwing objects, such as beer bottles, or otherwise attacking them.
- 58. In both works, Dalton has minimal worldly possessions. He drives an old beat-up car and eschews staying at a local motel to live in an unconventional, minimally appointed space. He speaks relatively little, preferring to listen and observe, but opens up as the story progresses, especially to his love interest—Dr. J. "Doc" Ellsworth in the Screenplay, and Dr. Elizabeth "Ellie" Eames in the 2024 Remake.
- 59. Ellie, just like Doc, is confident and aggressive, a bit suspicious of Dalton when they first meet in the emergency room where Dalton is being treated for post-fight injuries, but intrigued by Dalton nonetheless. After the two characters eventually begin a romantic relationship, Ellie, just like Doc, makes the first move, physically, in their relationship.

7

10

9

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- Ellie, just like Doc, is quite young for a doctor. In the Screenplay, 60. Doc's father is only in his fifties and Dalton mistakes Doc for a nurse. The shooting script for the 2024 Remake describes Ellie as being the "head of the ER at 28."
- 61. Both works depict similar themes regarding the undercurrent of violence in America and examine through Dalton's persona the complex nature of masculinity.
- 62. Given that the 2024 Remake is clearly derived from Hill's original Screenplay, the Writers Guild of America has awarded Hill "Story by" credit on the 2024 Remake (under his pseudonym David Lee Henry) and the credit: "Based on the motion picture 'Road House,' Screenplay by David Lee Henry and Hilary Henkin, Story by David Lee Henry."

Defendants' 2024 Remake Infringes Hill's Copyright in His Screenplay

- 63. Despite the 2024 Remake's obvious exploitation of the Screenplay, Defendants did not bother to even try to secure a new license of film and ancillary rights in the Screenplay following Hill's recovery on November 11, 2023 of his U.S. copyright therein.
- The 2024 Remake was not completed until well after the 1986 64. Grant had been statutorily terminated on November 11, 2023. Consequently, the 2024 Remake, unlike the 1989 Film, does not qualify for the "prior derivative works exception" to statutory termination, 17 U.S.C. § 203(b)(1).
- 65. Hill is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that at all relevant times hereto Defendants were and are involved in the financing, production, and/or distribution of the 2024 Remake in the United States and that Defendant MGM is the 2024 Remake's purported copyright holder.
- Without a newly secured license, Defendants' exploitation of the 66. 2024 Remake in the United States constitutes ongoing willful infringement of Hill's copyright, including without limitation, his exclusive right to "prepare

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

derivative works based upon the copyrighted work," 17 U.S.C. § 106(2), which Defendants had owned pursuant to the 1986 Grant, but lost on November 11, 2023 under the Copyright Act.

- On November 10, 2021, Defendants were placed on clear notice of 67. Hills' pending recovery of the copyright to his Screenplay when Hill served them with his statutory notices of termination, effective November 11, 2023. Under 17 U.S.C. § 203(b)(4), Defendants, as successors to the 1986 Grant had the ample and exclusive opportunity to re-license Hill's Screenplay over the two-year period between November 10, 2021 (when Hill's notices of termination were served) and November 11, 2023 (when the terminations became effective).
- 68. On December 15, 2021, however, Defendants responded in total denial of the fact that they were required to secure a new copyright license from Hill to continue exploiting his Screenplay. Instead, they attempted, contrary to law, to metamorphose the Screenplay into an exempt work for hire based solely on the post-facto "work made for hire" form recitation United Artists had inserted in the 1986 Grant.
- 69. Hill is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that Defendants will continue to prepare, produce, copy, distribute, exploit, and/or authorize others to prepare, produce, copy, distribute, or exploit the infringing 2024 Remake and other derivative works which copy and exploit the Screenplay in violation of the Copyright Act.
- 70. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful actions, Hill will suffer imminent and irreparable harm, much of which cannot be reasonably or adequately measured or compensated in damages.

COUNT I: DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 71. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 70 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
 - By reason of the foregoing facts, an actual and justiciable 72.

controversy has arisen and now exists between Hill and Defendants regarding their respective rights and interests regarding the Screenplay, for which Hill desires a declaration of rights.

- 73. Hill contends and Defendants deny that Hill's Termination or alternatively, Hill's Lady Amos Termination, is valid and effective under the Copyright Act.
 - 74. Hill thus seeks a declaration from this Court that:
 - a. Hill's spec Screenplay does not constitute a "work made for hire" under Section 101 of the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. § 101);
 - b. Hill's Termination, or alternatively, Lady Amos Termination, is valid and effective under the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. § 203 (a)), and as of November 11, 2023, the noticed termination date, Hill owns an enforceable U.S. copyright in and to the original Screenplay he solely authored; and
 - c. Without a new license for Hill, Defendants do not have any rights to make, exploit, or distribute new derivative works based in whole or in part on Hill's *Roadhouse* Screenplay, including the 2024 Remake *Road House*.
- 75. A declaration of the Court is necessary and appropriate pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 *et seq.*, so that Hill may ascertain his rights with respect to his Termination and Screenplay.

COUNT II: COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

- 76. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 75 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 77. Plaintiff Hill is the exclusive owner of all rights in and to the original Screenplay *Roadhouse* which has been registered in his name with the United States Copyright Office under Registration Number PA 2-455-802.
 - 78. By Defendants' exploitation and planned release of the 2024

Remake, a motion picture plainly derived from the Screenplay, Defendants knowingly and willfully infringed, and will continue to infringe, Hill's copyright and rights under copyright in the Screenplay.

- 79. Each infringement by Defendants and/or other parties of the Screenplay constitutes a separate and distinct act of infringement.
- 80. Hill is further entitled to recover from Defendants the damages, including pre-judgment interest, he sustained and will sustain, and any income, gains, profits, and advantages obtained by Defendants as a result of their wrongful acts alleged hereinabove, in an amount which cannot yet be fully ascertained, but which shall be assessed at the time of trial.
- 81. Alternatively, Hill is entitled to the maximum statutory damages recoverable, or for such other amounts as may be proper, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504.
- 82. Hill is further entitled to his attorneys' fees and full costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.
- 83. The ongoing harm Defendants' wrongful conduct will continue to cause Hill is both imminent and irreparable. By reason of Defendants' ongoing and willful copyright infringement, Hill has sustained and, unless and until Defendants are enjoined, will continue to sustain substantial imminent and irreparable injury, loss and damage, including repeated infringement of his copyright and interests, diminution of the value thereof, loss of customers, lost opportunity, dilution of goodwill, and injury to his business reputation.
- 84. Hill has no adequate remedy at law for many of his injuries in that such injuries cannot be reasonably, adequately, or precisely measured or compensated in damages if such wrongful conduct is not restrained and is allowed to continue unabated.
- 85. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 502, Hill is entitled to a preliminary injunction during the pendency of this action and a permanent injunction

ordering that Defendants, their agents, employees, licensees and assigns be enjoined from producing, reproducing, distributing and exploiting or authorizing the production, reproduction, distribution or exploitation of the 2024 Remake and ancillary products based thereon, derived from the Screenplay, and from engaging in any further violations of the Copyright Act.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

ON THE FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 1. For a declaration that Hill's Termination, or alternatively, Lady Amos Termination, is valid and effective and that accordingly, on November 11, 2023, Hill duly recovered the U.S. copyright to his original *Roadhouse* Screenplay;
- 2. For a declaration that, as of November 11, 2023, Defendants did not have any rights to make, produce or distribute the 2024 Remake or any other post-termination derivative work based in whole or in part on the Screenplay and/or the 1989 Film (as derived from the Screenplay); and
- 3. For an order preliminarily during the pendency of this action and thereafter, permanently, enjoining Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, licensees, and assigns, and all persons acting in concert with them, from distributing the 2024 Remake and any other derivative work based in whole or in part on the Screenplay and/or the 1989 Film (as derived from the Screenplay).

ON THE SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

4. For an order preliminarily during the pendency of this action and thereafter, permanently, (i) enjoining Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, licensees and assigns, and all persons acting in concert with them, from infringing the copyright in the Screenplay, in any manner, and (ii) enjoining Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, licensees and assigns, and all persons acting in concert with them, from engaging in or authorizing the production, reproduction, distribution, display and/or exploitation of the

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury for all issues triable to a jury. DATED: February 27, 2024 Respectfully Submitted, TOBEROFF & ASSOCIATES, P.C. By: /s/ Marc Toberoff Marc Toberoff Attorneys for Plaintiff

EXHIBIT 1

2

28

Chart of Similarities

<u>I</u>	Hill's 1986 Spec Script "Roadhouse"	"Road House" 2024 Shooting Script	
	Chard	acters	
•	The main protagonist of Hill's 1986 Spec Script, entitled "Roadhouse" (the "1986 Spec Script") is a bouncer named "Dalton."	• The main protagonist of the Shooting Script for the 2024 film Road House (the "2024 Shooting Script") is a bouncer named "Dalton."	
•	Dalton is described as having a body riddled with injuries from his past, but also as being in peak physical condition.	 Dalton is described as having a body covered in scars from injuries in his past, but also as muscled and having the body of a fighter. 	
•	Dalton is depicted as having exceptionally minimal worldly possessions.	Dalton is depicted as having exceptionally minimal worldly possessions.	
•	Dalton is depicted as living an itinerant lifestyle. He goes from place to place, driven by forces that are hinted at but never fully revealed.	• Dalton is depicted as living an itinerant lifestyle. He's haunted by an incident in his past, but this is not fully explored.	
•	Dalton is depicted as having an air of mystery about him; when he arrives at the Double Deuce, the bar where he has been recruited to work, the staff aren't quite sure what to make of him, beyond his reputation as a fighter.	• Dalton is depicted as having an air of mystery about him; when he arrives at the Road House, the bar where he has been recruited to work, the staff aren't quite sure what to make of him, beyond his reputation as a fighter.	
•	Dalton is depicted as being very independent; he doesn't rely on others for support or validation, and he is shown to travel alone and lives life on his own terms.	• Dalton is depicted as being very independent; he doesn't rely on others for support or validation, and he is shown to travel alone and lives life on his own terms.	
•	Dalton is depicted as having a sixth sense for detecting impending violence; he sees trouble about to break out before others (including his fellow bouncers) do.	Dalton is depicted as having a sixth sense for detecting impending violence; he sees trouble about to break out before others (including his fellow bouncers) do.	

Dalton is portrayed as being very Dalton is portrayed as being very 1 confident; he acts with a purpose and is confident; he acts with a purpose and is 2 very sure of his abilities and decisions, very sure of his abilities and decisions, especially when it comes to his job and especially when it comes to his job and 3 the strategy and (sometimes) violence the strategy and (sometimes) violence that he deploys. that he deploys. 4 Dalton is depicted as having a strong Dalton is depicted as having a strong 5 moral compass; he despises bullies, as moral compass; he despises bullies, as 6 evidenced by his disdain for Kenny evidenced by his quickness to violence James (a violent and disruptive bar when Dell and his goons are hassling 7 patron) who mistreats his girlfriend Billy, a bartender at the Road House. and others at the Double Deuce. 8 9 Dalton is depicted as being Dalton is depicted as being emotionally reserved, both in his emotionally reserved, both in his 10 relationship with his love interest relationship with his love interest, ("Doc") and in bouncing scenarios ("Ellie", also a doctor) and in bouncing 11 where others would lose their cool. scenarios where others would lose their cool. 12 13 Dalton is shown to have a developed Dalton is shown to have a developed knowledge of human anatomy. knowledge of human anatomy. 14 Dalton acts as a mentor to younger Dalton acts as a mentor to younger 15 bouncers at the Road House, bouncers at the Double Deuce, particularly Ginger (who hounds particularly Billy (who starts off as a 16 Dalton until he agrees to train him as a bartender but is transformed into a 17 bouncer). bouncer by Dalton). 18 Dalton drinks coffee throughout the Dalton drinks coffee throughout the 2024 Shooting Script. 1986 Spec Script. 19 Dalton drives a beat-up car with "faded Dalton drives a beat-up "surprisingly 20 paint [and] a forgotten air about it." shitty" car. 21 Dalton is depicted as being quite Dalton is depicted as being quite 22 levelheaded, even in situations that levelheaded, even in situations that escalate into violence; when he must escalate into violence; when he must 23 fight, he does, but he does so in a fight, he does, but he does so in a controlled, efficient manner. However, controlled, efficient manner. However, 24 when people he cares about are harmed when people he cares about are in (e.g., Wade, his mentor, is killed), a danger (e.g., Ellie, is kidnapped), a 25 switch seems to flip, and he goes into a switch seems to flip, and he goes into a 26 fit of rage. fit of rage.

- 2 -

27

• Dalton is depicted as having a studied nature when it comes to his work; he has a sort of Art of War approach to being a bouncer.	Dalton is depicted as having a studied nature when it comes to his work; he has a sort of Art of War approach to being a bouncer.
• Dalton, at first, speaks relatively little, preferring to listen and observe. As the story progresses, he opens up, especially in instructing the younger bouncers at the Double Deuce, and in his growing relationship with his love interest, Doc.	Dalton, at first, speaks relatively little, preferring to listen and observe. As the story progresses, he opens up, especially in instructing the younger bouncers at the Road House, and in his growing relationship with his love interest, Ellie.
Dalton is depicted as a master of various fighting disciplines, which he mixes seamlessly.	Dalton is depicted as a master of various fighting disciplines, which he mixes seamlessly.
• Dalton is shown to be thoughtful and reflective, and at times even sensitive, but also capable of extreme violence.	Dalton is shown to be thoughtful and reflective, and at times even sensitive, but also capable of extreme violence.
• Dalton is underestimated by potential opponents, primarily because of his size and his Zen-like demeanor.	Dalton is underestimated by potential opponents, primarily because of his size and Zen-like demeanor.
• "Doc" is a female doctor with whom Dalton has a romantic relationship.	"Ellie" is Dr. Elizabeth Eames, with whom Dalton has a romantic relationship.
• Doc is confident and aggressive; she's a bit suspicious of Dalton when they first meet (in the E.R. where Dalton is being treated for post-fight injuries), but she appears to be intrigued by Dalton's demeanor, and is a bit flirtatious.	• Ellie is confident and aggressive; she's critical of Dalton when they first meet (in the E.R. where Dalton is being treated for post-fight injuries), but she appears to be intrigued by Dalton's demeanor, and is a bit flirtatious.
• Doc is young for a doctor (her father, also a doctor, is only in his 50s), and Dalton mistakes her for a nurse.	• Ellie is young for a doctor; she is described as being the "head of ER by 28."
• Once Dalton and Doc begin to see each other, their conversations are marked by Doc trying to get a better sense of Dalton, his history and his motivations, which Dalton gently resists.	Once Dalton and Ellie begin to see each other, their conversations are marked by Ellie trying to get a better sense of Dalton, his history and his motivations, which Dalton gently resists.

- 1		
1 2 3 4	• Doc is depicted as having a spirited and adventurous personality; despite her education and profession, she's not intimidated by Dalton, nor does she act in a shy or reserved way in their interactions.	• Ellie is depicted as having a spirited and adventurous personality; despite her education and profession, she's not intimidated by Dalton, nor does she act in a shy or reserved way in their interactions.
5	Kenny James is a rabble-rouser that frequently comes into the bar and causes trouble.	Dell is a rabble-rouser that frequently comes into the bar and causes trouble.
7 8 9	Kenny James is overconfident and instigates a fight with Dalton. He is outmatched by Dalton.	Dell is overconfident and instigates a fight with Dalton. He is outmatched by Dalton.
10 11	Tilghman, the owner of the Double Deuce, is stressed by the pervasive violence and chaos that has overtaken his bar.	• Frankie, the owner of the Road House, is stressed by the pervasive violence and chaos that has overtaken her bar.
12 13 14	Ginger, the young, aspiring and enthusiastic bouncer who Dalton takes under his wing is eager to learn and somewhat in awe of Dalton.	Billy, the young enthusiastic bartender who Dalton takes under his wing and transforms into a bouncer is eager to learn and somewhat in awe of Dalton.
15 16 17	The assortment of "baddies" who cause trouble at the Double Deuce are depicted as violent and cartoonishly crude.	The assortment of "baddies" who cause trouble at the Road House are depicted as violent and cartoonishly crude.
18	Sett	ting
19 20 21	• The Double Deuce is a "sprawling" roadhouse bar that has seen better days and is "half full" when Dalton first arrives.	• The Road House is a "big" roadhouse bar that is described as having "very few customers," when Dalton first arrives.
22 23 24	• The Double Deuce has a stage for bands to perform, and the stage is wrapped in chicken wire to protect the bands from patrons throwing beer bottles or otherwise attacking them.	• The Road House has a stage for bands to perform, and the stage is wrapped in chicken wire to protect the bands from patrons throwing beer bottles or otherwise attacking them.
25 26 27 28	Dalton lives in an unconventional, minimally appointed place (a room above a rancher's horse barn).	Dalton lives in an unconventional, minimally appointed place (a houseboat).

1 Plot		lot
2 3 4 5	The 1986 Spec Script opens with the Double Deuce's owner, Tilghman, scouting Dalton at work as a bouncer in a bar called The Edge.	• The 2024 Shooting Script opens with the Road House's owner, Frankie, scouting a fighter at an event in a warehouse; but, as soon as that fighter refuses to fight Dalton, she focuses on him.
6 7 8 9	Dalton attempts to cool down a situation at The Edge, but is challenged by a rowdy patron, who cuts him with a metal nail file. Dalton barely reacts and the man is escorted out by others.	As Dalton leaves the warehouse, an irate fan, who lost money because of Dalton, attacks him with a knife, and runs off. Dalton is seemingly unperturbed by the knife sticking out of his side.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	• Dalton is stitching his wound closed by hand when he is approached by Tilghman. Tilghman grimaces, but then describes his situation, i.e., that he owns a bar in Kansas City, the Double Deuce, which is out of control and in need of a bouncer. After a quick negotiation, Dalton accepts Tilghman's offer of \$5,000 upfront, and all medical expenses, to work at his bar.	• Dalton is then approached by Frankie, who points out the knife in his side. Dalton removes the knife and closes the wound with duct tape, while Frankie grimaces. Frankie then describes her situation. i.e., that she owns a bar in the Florida Keys that is out of control and being trashed every night. She offers Dalton a job as a bouncer at \$5,000/week, which he refuses initially. Frankie convinces Dalton to take her phone number.
17 18	Dalton goes to Kansas City, where the Double Deuce is located.	Dalton goes to Glass Key, where the Road House is located.
19 20 21	When Dalton arrives at the Double Deuce, he finds the place "half full," with a staff that appear resigned to things the way they are.	When Dalton arrives at the Road House, he finds the place "with very few customers" and a staff that appear resigned to things the way they are.
22 23	Once night falls, however, the Double Deuce becomes chaotic and extremely violent.	 Once night falls, however, the Road House becomes chaotic and extremely violent.
24 25 26	A bar patron throws a beer bottle at the band playing and it crashes against the chicken wire around the stage.	A bar patron throws a beer bottle at the band playing and it crashes against the chicken wire around the stage.
27 28	Dalton watches the first fight at the Double Deuce from the bar with amusement and detachment.	Dalton watches the first fight at the Road House from the bar with amusement and detachment.

1 2 3	Dalton sets about finding a place to live; he eschews staying at a local motel, and instead opts to rent a room above a horse barn.	Dalton sets about finding a place to live; he eschews staying at a local motel, and instead opts to live in a houseboat at the local marina.
4 • 5 6 •	At first, Dalton mostly just observes from the bar watching the other bouncers and how they handle various situations that arise with drunk and/or violent patrons.	• At first Dalton mostly just observes from the bar, watching the other bouncers and how they handle various situations that arise with drunk and/or violent patrons.
7 8 9 10	When Dalton fights Kenny James, he displays mixed martial arts techniques and his superior skills by expertly dodging his blows. He sustains a kick in the ribs but maintains his intensity and control and knocks James down.	When Dalton fights Dell, he displays superior skills by expertly dodging his blows and then knocking him out. Dalton is then attacked by Dell's goons, who he beats one by one with intensity and control, displaying mixed martial arts techniques.
12	After Dalton defeats Kenny, he shows empathy by requesting that Kenny be taken to the hospital.	After beating up Dell and his goons, he shows empathy by driving them to the hospital.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	After the fight with Kenny, Dalton goes to the hospital and encounters Doc for the first time. She realizes that Kenny, who is also at the hospital, is there because of Dalton's handiwork. She then treats Dalton and is clearly intrigued by him— in particular the record of violence borne by his body, as shown in x-rays, but she rebuffs his offer to go out for coffee.	• After the fight with Dell and his goons, Dalton goes to the hospital and encounters the doctor, Ellie, for the first time. She realizes Dell and his goons are there because of Dalton's handiwork. She then treats Dalton for the stab wound he duct-taped. When Dalton takes off his shirt, she's intrigued, "impressed" by his "roadmap of scars," but remains somewhat aloof before he leaves.
21 •	Dalton and Doc eventually begin a romantic relationship.	Dalton and Ellie eventually begin a romantic relationship.
23	Doc and Dalton go a date, and at the end of the date, Doc makes the first move, leans in, and kisses him.	Ellie and Dalton go on a date, and at the end of the date, Ellie makes the first move, leans in, and kisses him.
25 • 26 27	As the 1986 Spec Script progresses, Dalton adds members to his team of bouncers at the Double Deuce.	As the 2024 Shooting Script progresses, Dalton adds members to his team of bouncers at the Road House.

		<u>, </u>
1 2 3 4 5 6	The 1986 Spec Script features montages where different nights are presented in a progression to show how Dalton has trained the other bouncers to react appropriately to violent patrons, and maintain order in the bar without resorting to fighting. He is shaping them into a coherent team, and is depicted as being pleased with his results.	• The 2024 Shooting Script features montages where different nights are presented in a progression to show how Dalton has trained the other bouncers to react appropriately to violent patrons, and maintain order in the bar without resorting to fighting. He is shaping them into a coherent team, and is depicted as being pleased with his results.
7 8 9	As the situation at the Double Deuce improves, there are scenes of the band playing brilliantly, interspersed throughout.	As the situation at the Road House improves, there are scenes of the band playing brilliantly, interspersed throughout.
10 11 12	One night after leaving the Double Deuce, Dalton is chased by goons in a truck, and narrowly escapes.	One night after leaving the Road House, Dalton is chased by a goon in a truck, is nearly killed, but narrowly escapes.
13 14 15 16	• In another incident, Dalton is tracked down by some goons that he had ejected and embarrassed in a fight at the Double Deuce. He fights them again, and beats them handily.	• In another incident, Dalton is tracked down by some goons that are associates of those he had ejected and embarrassed in a fight at the Road House. He violently disarms one, which scares off the other.
17 18 19	Wade, Dalton's mentor, is killed, sending Dalton into a rage, and prompting him to seek revenge against the perpetrators.	The bookstore of Dalton's friends (Stephen and Charlie), is viciously burned down, sending Dalton into a rage, and prompting him to seek revenge against the perpetrators.
20 21 22	In a climactic fight at the end of the 1986 Spec Script, Dalton and his friends emerge victorious.	In a climactic fight at the end of the 1986 Spec Script, Dalton and his friends emerge victorious.
23 24	After the final fight sequence, the police give Dalton a pass, let him leave and then he drives out of town.	• After the final fight sequence, the police give Dalton a pass, let him leave and then he takes a bus out of town.
25	The	emes
26 27	There are heroes among us, and they emerge at unexpected times and places; here, in the form of Dalton.	There are heroes among us, and they emerge at unexpected times and places; here, in the form of Dalton.
201	<u> </u>	

Case 2:24-cv-01587 Document 1-1 Filed 02/27/24 Page 9 of 10 Page ID #:28

• The righteous triumph of good over evil.	The righteous triumph of good over evil.
• The visceral reality of violence pervading (then) modern-day America.	The visceral reality of violence pervading modern-day America.
• There are those who act with reckless impunity, as if above the law.	There are those who act with reckless impunity, as if above the law.
• The complicated nature of masculinity is explored throughout. Dalton's masculinity is contrasted against characters like Kenny James who flaunt Troglodyte masculinity.	The complicated nature of masculinity is explored throughout. Dalton's complex masculinity is contrasted against characters like Dell and Knox who flaunt Troglodyte masculinity.
• Found family. Dalton instills a camaraderie amongst the employees of the Double Deuce and by the end of his time there, they are like a family.	• Found family. Dalton instills a camaraderie amongst the employees of the Road House and by the end of his time there, they are like a family.
Moral ambiguity. Dalton is portrayed in a positive light, but at the same time he resorts to violence to solve problems.	Moral ambiguity. Dalton is portrayed in a positive light, but at the same time he resorts to violence to solve problems.
Dia	logue
The antagonists, whether Kenny James	
or other tough guys who start trouble at the Double Deuce, speak in braggadocious, exaggerated idiom.	The antagonists whether Dell or other tough guys who start trouble at the Road House, like Ben Brandt to Knox, speak in braggadocious, exaggerated idiom.
the Double Deuce, speak in	tough guys who start trouble at the Road House, like Ben Brandt to Knox, speak in braggadocious, exaggerated
 the Double Deuce, speak in braggadocious, exaggerated idiom. Dalton's dialogue is unusually measured and calm when he speaks to those who threaten him with violence. 	tough guys who start trouble at the Road House, like Ben Brandt to Knox, speak in braggadocious, exaggerated idiom. Dalton's dialogue is unusually measured and calm when he speaks to
 the Double Deuce, speak in braggadocious, exaggerated idiom. Dalton's dialogue is unusually measured and calm when he speaks to those who threaten him with violence. 	tough guys who start trouble at the Road House, like Ben Brandt to Knox, speak in braggadocious, exaggerated idiom. Dalton's dialogue is unusually measured and calm when he speaks to those who threaten him with violence.
 the Double Deuce, speak in braggadocious, exaggerated idiom. Dalton's dialogue is unusually measured and calm when he speaks to those who threaten him with violence. M The scenes set at the Double Deuce vibrate with intensity and kinetic 	tough guys who start trouble at the Road House, like Ben Brandt to Knox, speak in braggadocious, exaggerated idiom. Dalton's dialogue is unusually measured and calm when he speaks to those who threaten him with violence.

-8-

- As Dalton cracks down on problematic patrons, the Double Deuce becomes a more vibrant and fun environment, where people drink, dance and enjoy themselves.
- The mood is testosterone-fueled, especially in the personage of the various toughs who frequent the Double Deuce, but this is tempered by Dalton's reserved demeanor.
- As Dalton cracks down on the problematic patrons, the Road House becomes a more vibrant and fun environment, where people drink, dance and enjoy themselves.
- The mood is testosterone-fueled, especially in the personage of the various toughs who frequent the Double Deuce, but this is tempered by Dalton's reserved demeanor.

Pace

- The pace of the 1986 Spec Script is fast and frenetic, with a stream of barroom brawls and intense confrontations. There are, however, breaks in the action for character development (particularly for Dalton and Doc).
- The pace of the 2024 Shooting Script is fast and frenetic, with a stream of barroom brawls and intense confrontations. There are, however, breaks in the action for character development (particularly for Dalton and Ellie).